	Case 1:21-cv-00844-NONE-EPG Docum	nent 14 Filed 11/18/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	BRADLEY MEDINA, et al.,	Case No. 1:21-cv-00844-NONE-EPG
12	Plaintiffs,	
13	v.	ORDER RE: NOTICE OF DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT UNITED STATES OF AMERICA WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND AMENDING CAPTION
14	COURTNEY L. MAPES, M.D.,	
15	Defendant.	(ECF No. 13)
16		(2011.0.10)
17	On November 17, 2021, the parties filed a stipulation to dismiss Defendant United States	
18	of America. ¹ (ECF No. 13.) Therefore, pursuant to Plaintiff's notice, this case is dismissed only	
19	as to Defendant United States of America. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A); Wilson v. City of San	
20	Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997). In light of the dismissal, the caption is amended as set	
21	forth above.	
22		
23		
24	///	
25	///	
2627	The stipulation does not state whether the dismissal was with or without prejudice. (<i>See ECF</i> no. 13.) "Unless the	
28	notice or stipulation states otherwise, the dismissal is without prejudice. But if the plaintiff previously dismissed any federal- or state-court action based on or including the same claim, a notice of dismissal operates as an adjudication	
20	on the merits." Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(B).	1

Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is respectfully directed to terminate only Defendant United States of America on the docket. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **November 18, 2021** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE